



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/040,436	01/09/2002	Hideaki Watanabe	SON-2321	4774	
23353	7590 12/28/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
RADER FISHMAN & GRAUER PLLC LION BUILDING 1233 20TH STREET N.W., SUITE 501 WASHINGTON, DC 20036			NALVEN, A	NALVEN, ANDREW L	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2134		
			DATE MAILED: 12/28/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u> </u>						
,	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	10/040,436	WATANABE ET AL.				
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Andrew L. Nalven	2134				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED <u>22 November 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THI	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.				
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the follo places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods: 	owing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The repl	ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or			
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)			
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must I 	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal o	of the appeal.			
AMENDMENTS	se med within the time period set it	7.07	a).			
3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brie	f. will not be entered	because			
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co						
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	•	,				
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially re	educing or simplifying	the issues for			
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	jected claims.				
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	16 and 41.33(a)).					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.	121. See attached Notice of Non-Co	ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). 	Illowable if submitted in a separate	, timely filed amendm	nent canceling			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		rill be entered and an	explanation of			
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-12, 17</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).			
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER						
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	ince because:			
12 Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)				

13. Other: _

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicant's proposed amendment changes the scope of the claims by limiting the claimed public key certificate to one with both an extended and basic area and thus would require additional search and consdieration.

Je je

SUPERVISORY CONTRACT PLOO